Verification– Step 3 in the xtUML Method

- Analysis questioning, thinking, sketching...
 - Informal UML diagrams
 - use case, sequence, ...
- **Modeling** formalizing the analysis:
 - Component Diagrams (partitioning/interfaces)
 - Class Diagrams (data)
 - State Machines (control)
 - Activities (processing)

Verification

- Interpretive Model Execution
- Code generation
 - Template and Rule-Based Translation





xtUML Model Driven Test

Model Driven Test delivers verified models to the next level



xtUML Model Driven Test

Abstraction accelerates verification

- Models have lower complexity
- Fewer requirements to check
- Faster test execution
- Easier to write tests
- Lower Model decay



Translation provides traceable path to "Golden" Reference for architecturespecific and technology-specific models



xtUML Model Driven Test

MDT offers Test Driven Design benefits

- Higher re-use with test component
- Lower system model debris
- Eliminates situation of design waiting for test



Validation vs Verification

Validation

- Confirming that the system performs intended function under Intended Usage
- Often emphasized by Modeling Analysis

Verification

 Confirming that the system is validated and does not perform "Bad Things" under Unintended Usage **Validation vs Verification**

xtMDT requires Verification

xtMDT Verification

xtMDT starts with Analysis

xtMDT Analysis - Use Cases

Test Environment models Actors



xtMDT Analysis - Use Cases

Use Cases must

Capture desired functionality

Constrain undesired functionality



xtMDT Analysis - Sequence Diagrams

Interactions between External Actors and the system model are good test candidates



xtMDT Analysis - Sequence Diagrams

- Analysis should include both intended and unexpected (ie. valid and invalid) messages
- Pre-Conditions define constraints
- Post-Conditions define
 "Golden" comparison



xtMDT Analysis - Scenarios

 Scenarios are Sequence Diagrams with messages from the Actors constrained to give only an unique set of messages from the system.



xtMDT Analysis - Scenarios

 Scenarios provide "Golden Data" to compare model generated messages against.



xtMDT Analysis - Scenarios

- Scenario = initial state + sequence trajectory
- Caution emphasizing Scenarios in analysis can give false sense of completeness.



xtMDT Analysis - Activity Diagrams

- Activity Diagrams show the flow of processing interactions
- Capture "Behavior / Habits" of an Actor



Mapping Analysis to Tests

Use Case Diagrams

Identify components and message categories for test system

Sequence and Scenario Diagrams

- Identify order of key behavior
- Identify boundary constraints and correctness

Activity Diagrams

Identify classes and messages related to common "Behavior"

Mapping Analysis to Tests

Scenarios + Activity Diagrams provide a prototype of a test component.

Suggested System Structure

Most Commonly split into Test Component and System Component



Structure of a Test



xtMDT– Test Component Phases



xtMDT– Test Component Phases





xtMDT– Test Component Phases





xtMDT– Test Phases



xtMDT Example from Application Model



xtMDT Summary

- Benefits of Platform-Independence applies to test
- Abstraction reduces complexity and simplifies test writing
- Start with xtUML Analysis artifacts
- Structure model and micro-sprints around component phases
- xtMDT eases transition to Advanced Verification
- Code generation enables easier path

Use super-class and sub-class structure to generalize the test structure



Super-class contains OAL to implement collection of parameterized sequences



Sub-class contains configuration details and orders the sequences



Randomization + Sequences =

Compact Test Suite Description





www.mentor.com

BP Training 2 Components



xtMDL - Test Classes













